

PRE-VISIT EVALUATION REPORT
PG Engineering
(To be filled-in by the Evaluator)

Name of the Institution:

Program Name:

Evaluator's Name:

Visit Dates:

Criterion 1. Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for the program					
2.	Is identified Content beyond Syllabus appropriate and adequate?					
3.	Process is in place to ensure quality of internal semester question papers, assignments and their evaluation as per outcomes/learning levels perspective					
4.	Adequate process in place to Identify projects, allocation methodology, their monitoring and evaluation.					
5.	Projects are relevant and contribute towards attainment of POs					
6.	Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis on industrial training					
7.	Laboratory work is relevant & Contribute to POs					

Summary of Observations:

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institution (if available on website)?

Criterion 2. Program Outcomes						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Mapping of course with program outcomes					
2.	Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes					
3.	Demonstration of attainment of POs & PSOs					
Summary of Observations: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR? Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit: Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit: Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit: Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institution (if available on website)? 						

Criterion 3. Students' Performance						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Whether the percentage of students enrolled through GATE Success rate in the stipulated period, placement & higher studies					
2.	Contribution of professional activities in achieving POs					
Summary of Observations: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR? b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit: c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit: d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit: e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institution (if available on website)? 						

Criterion 4. Faculty Contributions						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Student faculty ratio is better than or equal to 1:25					
2.	Innovative methods adopted by faculty in teaching and learning					
3.	a. Academic Research b. Sponsored Research c. Development Activities d. Consultancy from Industry					
4.	Well defined performance appraisal and development system is implemented for faculty					
5.	Provision of visiting/adjunct/emeritus faculty. Their participation and contribution details					
Summary of Observations: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR? Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit: Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit: Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit: Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institution (if available on website)? 						

Criterion 5. Laboratories and Research Facilities						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	a. Adequate & well equipped laboratories b. Adequate Research facilities / center of excellence					
2.	Availability of facilities and their effective utilization in project labs					
3.	Maintenance and Safety measures in laboratories					
<p>Summary of Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR? b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit: c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit: d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit: e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institution (if available on website)? 						

Criterion 6. Continuous Improvement						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	a. Areas of weaknesses are identified b. Measures to improve POs attainment levels are implemented					
2.	Improvement in: a. Quality of Projects b. Quality of paper publication c. Laboratories					
3.	Improvement in: a. Quality of student admitted in the program b. Placement ,Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship					
Summary of Observations:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR? b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit: c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit: d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit: e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)? 						