

**PRE-VISIT EVALUATION REPORT**  
**UG Engineering - Tier-II**

INSTITUTE NAME:

PROGRAM NAME:

VISIT DATES:

EVALUATOR'S NAME:

**Criterion 1. Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes**

S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Vision and Mission Statements					
2.	Consistency between institute and department Vision and Mission statements					
3.	Correlation parameters of PEO-Mission matrix are consistent and justified					
4.	Mapping of course with program outcomes					
5.	Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes					
6.	Demonstration of attainment of POs & PSOs					

**Summary of Observations:**

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

## Criterion 2. Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes

S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non-Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a degree program					
2.	Attainment of POs and PSOs					
3.	Is identified Content beyond Syllabus appropriate and adequate?					
4.	Process is in place to ensure quality of internal semester question papers, assignments and their evaluation as per outcomes/learning levels perspective					
5.	Adequate process in place to Identify projects, allocation methodology, their monitoring and evaluation.					
6.	Projects are relevant and contribute towards attainment of POs					
7.	Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof					
8.	Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis on industrial training					

### Summary of Observations:

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

**Criterion 3. Students' Performance**

S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non-Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Whether the percentage of students enrolled during the period of assessment on average basis is $\geq 50\%$					
2.	Professional activities stated in achieving PO and PSOs					

**Summary of Observations:**

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

**Criterion 4. Faculty Information and Contributions**

S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non-Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Student faculty ratio is better than or equal to 1:25					
2.	Innovative methods adopted by faculty in teaching and learning					
3.	a. Academic Research b. Sponsored Research c. Development Activities d. Consultancy from Industry					
4.	Well defined performance appraisal and development system is implemented for faculty					
5.	Provision of visiting/adjunct/emeritus faculty. Their participation and contribution details					
6.	Provision of effective feedback system					

**Summary of Observations:**

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

<b>Criterion 5. Resources</b>						
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Availability (Please tick)</b>	<b>Appropriateness/Observations</b>	<b>Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non-Conclusive)</b>	<b>Documentary evidences to be verified</b>	<b>Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)</b>
1.	a. Adequate & well-equipped laboratories b. Qualified technical support staff to run all program specific curriculum					
2.	Availability of facilities and their effective utilization in project labs					
3.	Maintenance and Safety measures in laboratories					
4.	Effective and adequate budget formulation and allocation process					
5.	Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library					
<p><b>Summary of Observations:</b></p> <p>a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?</p> <p>b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:</p> <p>c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:</p> <p>d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:</p> <p>e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?</p>						

**Criterion 6. Continuous Improvement**

S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	a.Areas of weaknesses are identified b.Measures to improve POs & PSOs attainment levels are implemented					
2.	a. Academic Audit Process b. Implementation					
3.	Improvement in: a. Quality of student admitted in the program b.Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship					
4	a. Action taken on observations made during last accreditation visit. b. New initiatives taken c. New Facilities Introduced					

**Summary of Observations:**

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?