

PART A

Chairperson's Visit Report

Diploma Engineering Program

Name of the Institution

Name of the Program

Visit Dates

NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati
Vihar, New Delhi 110003
Tel: +91 112430620-22; 01124360654; www.nbaind.org

Team composition

Name of the Chairperson: _____

Designation: _____

Program 1:

Program evaluator	Name
	Organization:

Program 2:

Program evaluator	Name
	Organization:

Program 3:

Program evaluator	Name
	Organization:

Program 4:

Program evaluator	Name
	Organization:

Program 5:

Program evaluator	Name
	Organization:

Institute Details

Year of Establishment: _____

Physical Infrastructure and Ambience: _____

Number of programs being run in the Institute*: _____

Total Number of Students: _____

Name of programs applied for accreditation

- (i) _____
- (ii) _____
- (iii) _____
- (iv) _____
- (v) _____

**to be verified from SAR*

Information for Evaluation

Award of Accreditation (Diploma Engineering)

Accreditation for 6 years

1. Program should score greater than or equal to 750 points in aggregate out of 1000 points with minimum score of 60 per cent in mandatory fields (criteria 4 to 6).
2. Program should meet all the essential parameters for Accreditation of 3 years.

Accreditation for 3 years

1. Program should score greater than or equal to 600 points.
2. The admissions in the Diploma Engineering program should be more than or equal to 50 per cent, averaged over three academic years (including lateral entry), i.e., Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2) and Current Academic Year minus Three (CAYM3).
3. Faculty Student Ratio in the department of the program under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:30, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two(CAYM2)

No Accreditation

If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status

Name of the Program 1: _____

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	200		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4.	Students' Performance	200		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	150		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7.	Continuous Improvement	75		
TOTAL		875		

Marks given by Evaluators:

A. Programme Level Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	Student Support Systems	50		
9.	Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	75		
TOTAL		125		

GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		
---------------------------	-------------	--	--

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :

**Signature
(Chairman)**

Name of the Program 2: _____

Marks given by Evaluators:

A. Program Level Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	200		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4.	Students' Performance	200		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	150		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7.	Continuous Improvement	75		
TOTAL		875		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	Student Support Systems	50		
9.	Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	75		
TOTAL		125		

GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		
---------------------------	-------------	--	--

Signature
(Chairman)

Name of the Program 3: _____

Marks given by Evaluators:

A. Program Level Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	200		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4.	Students' Performance	200		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	150		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7.	Continuous Improvement	75		
TOTAL		875		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	Student Support Systems	50		
9.	Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	75		
TOTAL		125		

GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		
---------------------------	-------------	--	--

Signature
(Chairman)

Name of the Program 4: _____

Marks given by Evaluators:

A. Program Level Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	200		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4.	Students' Performance	200		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	150		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7.	Continuous Improvement	75		
TOTAL		875		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	Student Support Systems	50		
9.	Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	75		
TOTAL		125		

GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		
---------------------------	-------------	--	--

Signature
(Chairman)

Name of the Program 5: _____

Marks given by Evaluators:

A. Program Level Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	200		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4.	Students' Performance	200		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	150		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7.	Continuous Improvement	75		
TOTAL		875		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	Student Support Systems	50		
9.	Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	75		
TOTAL		125		

GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		
---------------------------	-------------	--	--

Signature
(Chairman)

Overall Observations

1.

S. No.	Name of the Program	Intake			Admissions	Student-Faculty Ratio
		CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2	Average of CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2	Average of CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2

- Also, see the evaluator's report for the above parameters and if you disagree with the same, kindly give your comment.

2. About the progress since last accreditation (to be filled for institutes who have applied for re-accreditation)

Kindly mention the improvements made as recommended by NBA, since the previous visit.

3. Observation on general facilities and about the programs.

Kindly mention general observations about facilities like labs, library etc. and a general review about the programs.

- Academic Ambience
- Student Support Systems
- Strengths, Weaknesses, Concerns, Suggestions

4. Status of imbibing of outcome based accreditation. For Example:

- Formulation of PEOs, COs and mappings carried out and implemented
- Methodology for assessing the attainment of outcomes
- Continual improvement process status
- Stakeholders (especially the faculty, HOD, students etc.) awareness about the process